

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,320	03/02/2004	Brian D. Clark	P06621US00	4393
27139 MCKEE VOC	7590 08/09/2007 ORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER	
ATTN: MAY	ΓAG		STINSON, FRANKIE L	
801 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
220			1746	
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T A	T		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/792,320	CLARK ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.2 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 6.133)		
Status	V				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or other contents.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corre	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🌠 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3 - 2 -4	4)	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/792,320

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-3, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burkland (U. S. Pat. No. 3,603,121).

Re claims 1 and 18 for example, note that Burkland is cited disclosing a mounting bracket for a pump of a washing machine, the machine having a base (22), the mounting bracket, comprising:

- a body having a lower portion (61) adapted to mount on the washing machine base and having an upper portion (63) adapted to support the pump;
- a damper (64 or 65) between the upper and lower portions to dampen vibrations generated by the pump (21) and:

the body and damper being separately formed components.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-11, 19, 21 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkland in view of Ueda et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,020,767) or Neher (U. S. Pat. No. 2,881,995) or Ishikawa et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,352,247).

Application/Control Number: 10/792,320

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 4-10, 19 and 24-26 define over Burkland only in the recitation of the specific construction arrangement, namely, the damper being pressed, injection molded, mechanically locked, or the one-piece construction. Nonetheless, to employ such, is deemed to be a mere substitution of equivalent mechanical expedients. It is old and well known to employ the use of various construction techniques with respect to material cost, working environments and construction labor expense. Re claim 11, Burkland, Ueda, Neher and Ishikawa all disclose mounting legs. Re claims 21, Neher discloses the c-shaped bracket.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 12, 13, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied art as applied to claims 1-11, 17-21 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Johnson (U. S. Pat. No. 6,141,995), Neuder et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,044,883) or Ellegard (U. S. Pat. No. 4,757,786).
- Claims 12, 13, 15 and 22 define over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the twist lock. Johnson, Neuder and Ellegard (col. 6, line37) are each cited disclosing the arrangement of twist locking a pump. Therefore, to employ a twist lock as taught by Johnson, Neuder and Ellegard, in the arrangement of Burkland, as proposedly modified, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since this is considered to be a mere substation of equivalents.
- 6. Claims 14, 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied art as applied to claims 1-11, 17-21 and 24-26 above, and further in view of either Gatti et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 4,713,714) or Japan'200 (Japan 2001-304200).

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 14 and 16 define over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the openings for the legs. Gatti and Japan'200 each disclose an anti-vibration bracket, where there are openings (as at 82 in Gatti and 19c in Japan'200) as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement in Burkland, as proposedly modified, to employ openings as taught by either Gatti or Japan'200, for the purpose of providing a positive securing means. Re claims 23, Japan'200 discloses the base having tab (screws to be inserted into openings 26) as claimed.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Toyoshima et al., Story et al., UK'754, Morrill, France'385, Japan'896, Japan'040, Germany'616Germany'455, Yoon, Japan'529, Hollerith, Roddy, Wistinghausen, and Kneer et al., note the brackets.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1746

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746